P 7 29-99

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references. 1897-98

191.

(L.R)

File No. 68-97

Serial Nos. 1-9

Later references. 1-10.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1-9

In ~~Part~~ B for August 1899.

SUBJECT.

Removal of the appeal of Akkanna Yadappa and others, Patwaris of Dharwar Tal. re certain Govt. lands. —

W D 1250—GPB—30,000 28-1-19

Contempt
Ordered
by

(15)

Before the Government of Mysore.

Appeal No. 43 of 1898-99.

Appellants	Vs.	Respondents
(1) Akkanale Gaddeyya		(1) Gurayya
(2) " Bommanna		(2) Hutchangayya
(3) " Gangadharayya		(3) Kariyayya

Subject:- Dispute regarding certain vacant building sites lying in the post-limits of Hôlenarsi, Davangere Taluk.

Posted for hearing on 10th July 1899.

Sd/- Bl. Commr
27/6/99

1160/

See Mysore Regulations. Patel has no power to decide civil disputes. Refer to Civil Court.

27/6/99

The first mention of Dy Comr cannot be entertained as Civil Court appeal lies.

24/7/99

10.7.99.

Before the Government of Mysore.

Appeal No. 43 of 1898-99

Appellants	Vs.	Respondents
(1) Guddappa		(1) Gurappa
(2) Bommanna		(2) Huttahanyappa
(3) Gangadharappa		(3) Hanumappa (dec'd)

Notice served
10. 7. 99

All present except 3rd respt. who is
better & present

1st Counsel for appellants — The suit has been in progress for about 20 years. Why do you have appeal? The suits belong to us!

appellants — The suits are near our homes.
Respts

appeal dismissed
Mg 10/7/99

LR 8-97-1

1898

No. 2198 A. 43. 98/97

Name of Petitioner Commissioner, Ganjam Division

Village Chitrapalli

Taluk Narasapur

District Vizagapatam

Date of Arzi 15-2-98

Date of Receipt 25-2-98

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure 3.

ABSTRACT

Appeal against the ... Fine Division, Vizagapatam District, granting some Kapu ryots of Chitrapalli village of the Narasapur taluk certain privileges and others at a less price than that offered by the petitioners

State that the Gauda ryots and others have been using some of the Kandelu as their threshing-floors at a certain portion of the assessment attached to the house

Rider

(1) 2 Rs. Stamps affixed

(2) Order of Commissioner, Ganjam Division, against dt. 18.8.97 communicated to petrs by Tahsildar's endorsement dt. 6.11.97.

Sd/-

1/3/98 A joint appeal is maintainable. Each of the petrs. should submit a separate petition

Sd/- 4/3/98

Dft. Endt. put up

Sd/- 8/3/98

The petrs have now filed separate appeals (Petns 2963-2965) to the Commr. who is under the rules (Rule x x x A) a delegate to grant lands only to prospective ryots with a view to settling them. These appeals seem to be misconceived by petitioners in the village.

Sd/- Rev. Sec'y. 6/57

Sd/- 16/8/98.

Sd/- 16-8-98

256

Sd/- 2/9/98

9655

Sd/- [illegible]

houses of the petitioners;
that they once offered to
buy the said portion of land
by paying [illegible] in excess of
Govt rate; & that, in spite
of their offer, the Secretary
has ordered that the site should
be sold away to the Postal &
others at [illegible] per sq yd, which
is rather unjust to their eyes.

8/18-8/97

Pray that, as the Petrs
are better entitled to have the choice of
land under dispute than the Postal
the Auditor
may be ordered to give them
the land they want.

28/2/98

Encl:-

1 Auditor's Sketch [illegible] by Secretary's order
2 — do. —
3 — do. —

2198.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REG'D. NO. 2198. R
DATE 25.2.98

16.2-98

III

IV

V

3

Subd

(1) 2½ stamp affixed - Stamp Affixt

(2) Dept/ comrs order confirmed in law communicated by Millar's Rendt dated 26. 11. 97. Hence appeal out of time by more than 3 months.

9/11/98

Delay not explained

By 30/6/98 x applcn in Court

30/6/98

Subd in Petn

III 30/6/98

P

1-7-98

2 / 139 8

No. 2406 A. 43 98 97

Name of Petitioner Gurappa (Patil)

Village Hirabalki

Taluk Dharwar

District Chitaldrug

Date of Arzi 8-6-98

Date of Receipt 13-6-98

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil

Appeal against order Dy Commr

ABSTRACT

State:—

Patel Gurappa, Talawar Kariga, Ukkangappa and the rest of the above village extended their threshing-floor by encroaching upon the site forming the foot-bridge. The Petr applied to the Dy Commr for a grant of a portion of the site with a view to extend his house-site, and stated that he would pay for each sq. yd. (3 feet) -1-4 more than the Govt rate. The Dy Commr ordered the grant of

of the whole site to the said
Patel Gunapha ~~Patel~~ at ~~x~~ per sq yd.
Patel Gunapha Patel (and)
laid little attention to the Petrs
application.

In appealing against
this Order of the Dy Comr, as
communicated to him Hd. Quarters
Lett No 27 dt 16.11.17 the Petr urges :-

II A house being more im-
portant than a threshing-floor,
a requisition in favour of the
former should be given preference to
that in favour of the latter.

III To grant the site in question
at 6 pies per sq. yd to Patel Gunapha
Patel is to create a right of
possession in their favour, which
is wrong; for, while the possession
itself is unlawful, it (Mamlatdar) acts
as an incentive to all those
who unauthorizedly occupy
Govt. lands.

IV Although both the parties have
put equal claims to the house-site
yet, the claims of the Petr are
better than the claim of Patel Gunapha
and others inasmuch as his
regard to house-site while theirs
only to threshing-floor, and inasmuch also, as his not of permanent nature (that) others

Prays that the Dy Comr's orders may
be set aside and the desired site ordered to be given
to him (Petr).

Enc: (1) Petn dt 18.8.17
(2) Petn dt 16.11.17
(3) Dy Comr's Lett No communicating the order of the 30.6.17
and stating that no other order could be given
in the matter.

COURT FEE ONE RUPEE

COURT FEE ONE RUPEE

Two rupee Stamp affixed

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE
PETITION DEPARTMENT
REG'D. NO 2963
DATE 13-6-98

13.6.98

A.J. 43
98-99

98

ఈ విధంగా ఎన్నికల ప్రక్రియ ముగిసిన తరువాత ఎన్నికల అధికారి ఎన్నికల ఫలితాలను ప్రకటించి ఎన్నికైన అభ్యర్థి పేరును ఎన్నికల ఫలితాల ప్రకటన ఫారం-10 లో నమోదు చేసి ఎన్నికల ఫలితాల ప్రకటన ఫారం-10 ను ఎన్నికల అధికారి సంతకం చేసి ఎన్నికైన అభ్యర్థికి ఇవ్వాలి.

III ఎన్నికల ఫలితాల ప్రకటన ఫారం-10 ను ఎన్నికల అధికారి సంతకం చేసి ఎన్నికైన అభ్యర్థికి ఇవ్వడంతో ఎన్నికల అధికారి తన విధులు పూర్తి చేసినట్లు అవుతుంది. ఎన్నికైన అభ్యర్థి ఎన్నికల ఫలితాల ప్రకటన ఫారం-10 ను ఎన్నికల అధికారి నుండి తీసుకున్న తరువాత ఎన్నికల అధికారి తన విధులు పూర్తి చేసినట్లు అవుతుంది. ఎన్నికైన అభ్యర్థి ఎన్నికల ఫలితాల ప్రకటన ఫారం-10 ను ఎన్నికల అధికారి నుండి తీసుకున్న తరువాత ఎన్నికల అధికారి తన విధులు పూర్తి చేసినట్లు అవుతుంది.

IV ఈ ఎన్నికల ఫలితాల ప్రకటన ఫారం-10 ను ఎన్నికల అధికారి సంతకం చేసి ఎన్నికైన అభ్యర్థికి ఇవ్వడంతో ఎన్నికల అధికారి తన విధులు పూర్తి చేసినట్లు అవుతుంది. ఎన్నికైన అభ్యర్థి ఎన్నికల ఫలితాల ప్రకటన ఫారం-10 ను ఎన్నికల అధికారి నుండి తీసుకున్న తరువాత ఎన్నికల అధికారి తన విధులు పూర్తి చేసినట్లు అవుతుంది. ఎన్నికైన అభ్యర్థి ఎన్నికల ఫలితాల ప్రకటన ఫారం-10 ను ఎన్నికల అధికారి నుండి తీసుకున్న తరువాత ఎన్నికల అధికారి తన విధులు పూర్తి చేసినట్లు అవుతుంది.

ನವೆಂಬರ್
1963 ನೇ

JUL 98

"Byrappa"

Hon. Secretary

Ord

(1) 2 Rs Stamp appeal - Stamp Sufficient

(2) Petitioner ordered to be informed of what communicated to Petr by Asst. dy 26.11.97. Appeal is thus out of time by more than 3 months.

Delay to be explained

16/6/98

Endt on petn

16/6/98

P

1-7-98

21 & 22/97

L.D. 68-97

No. 2964

Name of Petitioner Byramsaha, Villavath

Village Kitaballi

Taluk Davanagere

District Chitaldroog

Date of Arzi 8-6-98

Date of Receipt 13-6-98

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure one

ABSTRACT

Appeals against Pr No 101, dy 15-5-97 of the Dy Comr, directing the granting the Forest-side to Patel Siddappa Pole at Kitaballi -

(Same as Petn No 2963 8-6-98)

Sue.

Asstt dy Comr's Pr No 25, dy 24-11-97 communicating to Petr the order of the Dy Comr & stating that no further appeal could be preferred in the matter.

16.6.98.

COURT FEE

ONE RUPEE

COURT FEE

ONE RUPEE

2764

1083

43(a)

2nd Munsiff's Court, Nellore

REVENUE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REG'D No 2764
DATE 13-6-98

13-6-98

8.6.98

I

II

III

III

IV

3

VIII

(1) 2 Rs Stamps affixed - Stamps fixed

(2) Dept Memo with Complaint of Was communicated in Rms dated 26.11.97 - Appeal out of time by more than months.

17.6.98

delay to be explained

30/6/98

Endt on petn

30/6/98

1-7-98

U2 68-773

(1) 1897 3

No. 2965 A5

43(a) 98.99

Name of Petitioner Gangadharappa Siddhanavar

Village Kitavalli

Taluk Dharwar

District Chitaldrug

Date of Arzi 8-6-98

Date of Receipt 13-6-98

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure one

ABSTRACT

Appeal against Rule No 101, dt 18-8-97 of the Commissioner directing the transfer of the Kitavalli Gangadhara Siddhanavar to Kattri Gangadhara Siddhanavar dt 6/98 Rec dt 8/6/98.

(Same in Petn No 2963 2964 8-6-98

Rec.

Annexes Endt No 26, 3-6-98 Communicating to Petr the above order of the Commr, stating that no other orders could be passed in the matter.

16/6/98.

Two rupee stamp affixed only

REVENUE DEWAN'S OFFICE
DATE 13-6-98

13.6.98

20/6/98

104

No. 436

1

IV

3

LR 68-97-4

Pet/188

7-9-98

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

4

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM

The accompanying Appeal Petition No. 3 dated noted in the margin received at the Dewan's Office from appearing against the order of the Court of Chitaldrug dismissing certain suits & others (in preference to petitions for hittals at higher rate) in which the same were applied for by petrs for lower rate is forwarded, ~~together with its enclosures,~~ to the Deputy Commissioner of the Chitaldrug District for submission of the entire proceedings connected with the case, together with a full report of the facts of the case, and on the points urged in the petition.

2. The petitions with their enclosures should be returned.

Petns Nos 2963 and 303 from Gudlappa & Mitakalli with 1 enclosure

Do Nos 2965 and 301 from Nagadhappa with 1 enclosure

Do Nos 2964 and 302 from Bommanna with 1 enclosure

C/ 2998

By order,

for Secr

BANGALOR 8/9/1898

8/9/98

Gen. Serial No. 80.]

1898-99

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
No. 2069
OCT 14 1898
DEWAN'S OFFICE

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Nagalapur & Districts.

To

The ~~Chief~~ Secretary to the Government
~~Dewan~~ of Mysore.
General and Revenue Departments.

Dated 7th
Despatched 12th } October 1898.
Received

Enclosures No. 11 with Record.

General No. 322-
No. 305.

In reply to

SUBJECT.

Submitting report on the appeal petitions of Guddappa, Gangadharappa and Bomanna of Nidavalli Village, Davanagere Taluk complaining against the grant of certain sites to others though the same were applied for by the petitioners for house sites at a higher rate.

References—(to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

Rept.

Recd 17/10. A.K.
17/10/98

Sd
Le 68-97

May
19. 10. 98

The Dewan has perhaps not specified in levying a Ns. specific sum for the Ns but that fact does not arise in the present case as the complainants are persons who have no claim whatever to the sites sold by the Commissioner

Ms 4/11/98

10106

Pass after perusing the report in this case, which is rather lengthy, from non-Kannada knowing parties, the Nazir who can explain and explain the petitioners' grievances.

It is not clear whether it is unobjected or applied land - and whether it is within the municipality or outside the municipal limits.

Also from the Nazir who an inquiry has the Tahsildar to report from a land as his Sanad land or not and as to Rate -

Ask Collector to give evidence on oath [illegible] and to [illegible] and [illegible] and [illegible] on the subject

Draft to Board
Ms 7/11/98.

Issued
5/11/98

No: 322- Enclos:
305- N. with Recpt.

Deputy Commissioner's office
Belgaum District
Dated 9th October 1898.

To,

The Secretary to the
Government of Bombay
General and Revenue
Department

Sir,

With reference to your official memorandum No. 188 dated the 8th ultimo forwarding for report the appeal petitions of Guddappa, Gangadharappa and Bommanna of Nittoor village, Dandgun Taluk Complaining against the grant of certain sites to others though the same were applied for by the petitioners for house sites at a higher rate, I have the honor to state as follows:—

2. On 30th June 1895, one Veerabasappa presented a petition to the amildar stating that— Patel Gurappa cut and removed a Jali tree which stood on the premises of the Jangam Math without

without his knowledge. He also forwarded a petition to this office on the subject and the same was sent to the Amildar for enquiry and report.

3. The Amildar accordingly held an enquiry and submitted the record with his No 256 dated 25th September 1895.

4. During this enquiry it was ascertained that the tree in question was not standing on the Hiatt premises as alleged by the petitioner, but that it was on the threshing floor belonging to Patel Gurabba. The complainant himself admitted the fact.

5. A question of encroachment on the part of Patel and 2 others, of a piece of land which was a part and portion of the Fort ditch, having arisen in the said enquiry the Amildar was directed to submit a further report in the matter. Vide this office No 215 dated 15th [illegible]

6. The Amildar in submitting a detailed report in the case states that the marginally noted extent* of Government land, had been included in the threshing ground belonging to Patel Gurabba, Huchan-gabba and Kariyabba about 15 or

Survey No 1648½
Huchangabba 330
Kariyabba 468

20 years ago and recommended that considering their long enjoyment they may be allowed to retain the same on payment to Government of its value at the rate of 3 rics per square yard.

7. Subsequently I inspected the spot, made personal enquiries in the matter and satisfied myself as to their long and undisturbed possession of the sites in question. I therefore directed the auildar not to disturb their possession but to recover the value of the ground at the rate of six rics per square yard, which amounted to rupees 76-7-0 in all. This amount was accordingly collected and the certificates issued.

8. After my personal inspection the Appeal petitioners presented petitions to the auildar to the effect that their houses are situated close to the "kanas" in question and that therefore the encroached portion might be given to them for building purposes and that they would pay at the rate of one anna per square yard

9. The Auildar thereupon issued endorsements to the petitioners giving effect of my order in

the

the matter.

Against this order the appeal petitioners have preferred an appeal to Government.

10. The records and the attitude taken by the Petitioners clearly show that the complaint is the outcome of ill feeling existing between Petitioners and the Patel and some other ryots of the village. The land referred to is low and not fit for building houses.

11. The vernacular record connected with the case is herewith submitted together with the appeal petitions received with the memorandum under reply.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient servant

Deputy Commissioner
Chittaldrug dis.

LR 68-97-P

189 76

From

The Secretary to the Govt. of Mysore,
General and Revenue Departments.

To

The Deputy Commr
Chitaldroog &c &c

Dated 10th

Despatched 12th Nov 1898

Received

General No. 3309. P
P. No. 409

Enclosure No.

His Letter No. 5-2-2/305 dated 7-10-98 ~~forwarding~~ reporting on the appeal petitions of Gaddappa and 10 others of Chitaldroog village in the Davangere Taluk complaining ~~against~~ the grant of certain sites to others in preference to them.

In returning herewith the Vernacular records received with the above for reference and resubmission, I have the honor to request that ~~as follows~~:—

(1) Enquiry of the Dy Commr

Reference may be submitted on the following points

Comm's report shows that the so called threshing floor was Govt: land to which neither the Patel who had apparently encroached upon it nor the applicants have any right.

(2) It is not clear whether it is unassessed or assessed land, and whether it is within the village site or outside the village limits.

(3) Apart from the site in dispute, has the Patel a separate piece of land as his threshing ground and if so, what is its extent?

2. The Dy Comm: is invited to furnish the information required ~~in para 1 above~~ and to submit a rough sketch of the site and its surroundings and also a report since how long the Patel has been in unlawful use and possession of the site encroached upon.

By order

C,
5/4/28.

for Secretary.

EK
7/4/28

Repts partly

Lr 6897-7

Gen. Serial No. 30.]

Cl. No. 55-77

MAR 13 1899

Ck 341

PAPERS OFFICE

189

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Civil Judge Dinajpur.

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government Board of Revenue
General and Revenue Depts

Dated 7th

Despatched 13th March 1899

Received

Enclosures No. / Sixth a file of
Vernacular papers

General No. 795
No. 678

In reply to

SUBJECT.

Submitting further report
in connection with the
recent petition of inhabitants
of Chikail village praying
relief, complaining of the
grant of certain ziras & others
in perpetuity & them.

References—(to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

Rec
11.3.99

Rec
13/3

13-3-99

13.3.99
Submitted to Govt Council

Mll
14.3.99

Lr 17/3/99

Cl. Dept
18.3.99
Letters - herewith
forwarded
copies & notices
issued on the
petitions concerned
Recd
6.4.99

Lr
7/4/99

Deputy Commissioners
Office - Chitaldroog
District -

Dated - Chitaldroog
7th March 1899

12 1895
678

enes ; with a file of
Vernacular records.

From

The Deputy Commissioner
Chitaldroog District

To

The Secretary to the Govern-
ment of Mysore, General
and Revenue Department
Bangalore

Sir -

With reference to your docket no 3309 / Rel-409 dated 12th November last - forwarding for further report, the verna-cular records connected with the appeal petitions of Gudsappa and two others of Nitvalli village Davangere Taluk, complaining of the grant of certain sites to others in preference to them, I have the honor to state that as observed in para

para 6 of my letter no 322/305 dated 9th October last, the so called thrashing floor was Government land to which neither the Patel nor the appellants have any right.

2. This fact has been substantiated by the statements of some of the villagers and of the Patel himself, as contained in pages 25, 26 and 27 of the vernacular records.

3. It is reported by the Inquirer that the land in question is a portion of the foot path and as such unassessed; but that after it was given over to the Patel for an upset price under my orders as observed in para 6 of my letter of the 9th October 1895, Kittal assessment of Rs 1-8-. has been

been levied thereon.

4. Apart from the site in question, there is another piece of land belonging to the Patel. He has used it as a threshing floor and it measures 2883 Square yards. An assessment of Re 1-8- is levied on this land also.

5. A rough sketch of the site and its surroundings is herewith submitted for perusal.

6. The period from which the Patel has been in undisturbed possession and enjoyment of the site encroached upon cannot be ascertained as some of the villagers say that he has been using it for the last 6 or seven years and some others 18 or 20 years and some more 20 or 25 years.

7. The Vernacular records received

Received with your despatch
of this month, requesting
information as to the
number of
Chattels
held by
Deputy Commissioners
in Chittagong District

No 1

LR 68-97

Pro: fine Court of Appeals

Appts
(1) Mirande Gunasekera
( ) — — Bommanna
( ) — — Gangadharaiya

Respts
(1) Gunasekera
(2) Muchan. Sappa
(3) Karunasena

Read:-

Petitions of appeal Nos 2,963 & 2,965 AS 43 of 1898-99 presented respectively by RK Karunasena Gunasekera, Bommanna and Gangadharappa of Kattajalli village in the Davangere taluk, against the order of the Dy Commr Chitaldrug dismissing certain Court suits forming a part of the village fasti-details to fix Inam and Assets for an upset price

(2) Correspondence ending with the letter No 705/678 of 7-3-99 from the Dy Comm Chitaldrug to the reporting that the suits in question were confirmed to the respondents for an upset price in consideration of their long possession extending to a period of

Sht 4 to 1st Comm Ass
27/7/99.

11853

Copy of order
has an ink
& Court in
Marathi Pen
Off
27.7.99

Press copy
Sht 5 to 2nd Comm Ass
28/7/99.

Rd 2.8.99

6.8.99

about 20 years

(3) Records of the case

(139)

Code No 1397-1404/15 & 99.
No 25-99/15

Can we not reason between
with

The grants made ~~to~~ in consideration
~~as~~ by reason of ~~the~~ ~~to~~
& Rights can acquire if the act in dispute
~~be not effected within~~ ~~expire~~
to run for 20 years - Applicant's equities in
the ~~Grantee~~ is not tenable, this
appears by Memorial

No: The grant was made in
'97 and the [illegible] ~~to~~
20 years & was expired
the grant by 25/99 [illegible]

since
as the law
no right
~~to~~ and there is no power
of removal by the Court

Respt
C, HC2

Fordecy

To
Heads of Parties Clarke Cons
with records
- do - Theo Bds

x 23-27 Dec Bds
28 Bds
16/8/99

(9)

1899-1900

GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE

PETITION

PROCEEDINGS

Dated 15th August 1899

No. 1397-1404
P. F. 29-9

Dismissing the appeal of Akkasale Guddappa and two others of Nittavalli, Davangere taluk, against the Deputy Commissioner's order, confirming to respondents Gurappa and two others, certain Government sites of the village in consideration of their long possession of them.

SEP-22-8-99-200

Appellants		*Respondents*
(1) Akkasale Guddappa.	*versus*	(1) Gurappa.
(2) Akkasale Bommanna.		(2) Huchangappa.
(3) Akkasale Gangadharappa.		(3) Kariyappa.

READ—

Petitions of Appeal Nos. 2933 to 2935—A. S. 43 of 1898-99, presented, respectively, by Akkasale Guddappa, Bommanna and Gangadharappa of Nittavalli village in the Davangere taluk, against the order of the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug district, granting certain Government sites, forming a part of the village fort ditch, to patel Gurappa and two others for an upset price.

2. Correspondence ending with letter No. 705—678, dated 7th March 1899, from the Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug district, reporting that the sites in question were confirmed to the respondents for an upset price in consideration of their long possession extending to a period of about 20 years.

3. Records of the case.

No. 1397-1404—P. F. 29-99, DATED BANGALORE, 15TH AUGUST 1899.

ORDER THEREON.—Government see no good reason to interfere with the grant made by the Deputy Commissioner in consideration of respondents' long possession of the sites in dispute for more than 12 years. Appellants' objection to the grant is untenable, inasmuch as they have no right whatever to the sites and there is no proof of recent encroachment by respondents. This appeal is therefore dismissed.

C. SREENIVASIENGAR,
Secy. to Govt., Gen. & Rev. Depts.

To—The Deputy Commissioner, Chitaldrug District, with records.
The Deputy Commissioners of other Districts.

Exd.—H.A.R.

ಸಂ- 2963-2964-2965 ಮಾಲತಿ ಸಂಪತ್ತಿನ
ಪುಟ- 4 ⑧ 16-8-99

ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯವರ ಪ್ರಮಾಣ

ಪ್ರಮಾಣ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ (ಎ)

ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯ ಪರ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿ (1) ಎಸ್. ಪುಟ್ಟಸ್ವಾಮಿ

ಪ್ರಮಾಣ ಮಾಡಿಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದು —

—

ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯವರ ಪರ ವಕೀಲರು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆ-
ಗಾಗಿ ಹಾಜರಾಗಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯವರ ಪರ
ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯು ಹಾಜರಾಗಿರುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯವರ
ಪರ ವಕೀಲರು ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಯನ್ನು ಕೈಬಿಟ್ಟಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.
ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯವರ ಪರ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಯನ್ನು
ಕೈಬಿಡಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯವರ ಪರ
ವಕೀಲರು ಹೇಳಿಕೆ ನೀಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ. ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯವರ
ಪರ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಯನ್ನು ಕೈಬಿಡಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು
ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯವರ ಪರ ವಕೀಲರು ಹೇಳಿಕೆ ನೀಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.
ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯವರ ಪರ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಯನ್ನು
ಕೈಬಿಡಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯವರ ಪರ
ವಕೀಲರು ಹೇಳಿಕೆ ನೀಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯವರ ಪರ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಯನ್ನು
ಕೈಬಿಡಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯವರ ಪರ
ವಕೀಲರು ಹೇಳಿಕೆ ನೀಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯವರ ಪರ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಯನ್ನು
ಕೈಬಿಡಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯವರ ಪರ
ವಕೀಲರು ಹೇಳಿಕೆ ನೀಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯವರ ಪರ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಯನ್ನು
ಕೈಬಿಡಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯವರ ಪರ
ವಕೀಲರು ಹೇಳಿಕೆ ನೀಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯವರ ಪರ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಯನ್ನು
ಕೈಬಿಡಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯವರ ಪರ
ವಕೀಲರು ಹೇಳಿಕೆ ನೀಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯವರ ಪರ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಯನ್ನು
ಕೈಬಿಡಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯವರ ಪರ
ವಕೀಲರು ಹೇಳಿಕೆ ನೀಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯವರ ಪರ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಯನ್ನು
ಕೈಬಿಡಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯವರ ಪರ
ವಕೀಲರು ಹೇಳಿಕೆ ನೀಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯವರ ಪರ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಯನ್ನು
ಕೈಬಿಡಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯವರ ಪರ
ವಕೀಲರು ಹೇಳಿಕೆ ನೀಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯವರ ಪರ ಸಾಕ್ಷಿಯ ಪರೀಕ್ಷೆಯನ್ನು
ಕೈಬಿಡಲಾಗಿದೆ ಎಂದು ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿಯವರ ಪರ
ವಕೀಲರು ಹೇಳಿಕೆ ನೀಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

Dated - 12- 8- 1947. Sd/-

Secretary.

Dated - 12- 8- 1947. Sd/-

Secretary.